

townhall.virginia.gov

# Periodic Review and Small Business Impact Review Report of Findings

Agency name	Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	24VAC20-40
VAC Chapter title(s)	Rules and Regulations on Accident Prevention Courses for Older Drivers
Date this document prepared	December 9, 2019

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), the Regulations for Filing and Publishing Agency Regulations (1VAC7-10), and the *Form and Style Requirements for the Virginia Register of Regulations and Virginia Administrative Code*.

## **Acronyms and Definitions**

Define all acronyms used in this Report, and any technical terms that are not also defined in the "Definitions" section of the regulation.

There are no acronyms in the report or technical terms that are used in the document that are not also defined in the "Definition" section of 24VAC20-40

## Legal Basis

Identify (1) the promulgating agency, and (2) the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulatory change, including the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or Acts of Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable. Your citation must include a specific provision, if any, authorizing the promulgating agency to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency's overall regulatory authority.

Sections 38.2-2217 and 46.2-206 of the *Code of Virginia* (*Code*) provides the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) with specific and general authority to promulgate regulations necessary to (i) enforce the

provisions of Chapter 22 of Title 38 of the *Code* which governs reduction in rates for certain persons who attend mature driver motor vehicle crash prevention courses and driver improvement clinics and Chapter 2 of Title 46.2 of the Code which governs regulations; violations; forms for applications, certificates, licenses, etc., (ii) provide adequate training for older drivers, (iii) protect older drivers and public safety, and (iv) carry out the other provisions of Chapter 22 of Title 38 of the *Code* and Chapter 2 of Title 46.2 of the *Code*.

## **Alternatives to Regulation**

Describe any viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the regulation that were considered as part of the periodic review. Include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and why this regulation is the least burdensome alternative available for achieving its purpose.

There is no viable alternative to the regulation of Accident Prevention Courses for Older Drivers.

## **Public Comment**

<u>Summarize</u> all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review, and provide the agency response. Be sure to include all comments submitted: including those received on Town Hall, in a public hearing, or submitted directly to the agency. Indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.

Commenter	Comment	Agency response
Commenter Joseph Haugh, Instructor, AARP Smart Driver Course	In my honest opinion, I believe that shortening the class to a more reasonable six or even four hours would make the course more appealing and more accessible to older drivers. Many people don't want to spend 8 hours for a course and by reducing the time it would become more appealing, attract more people to the classes, and therefore bring more people up to date. The class could very reasonably be shortened by reducing the repetition, the time spent on the "joys" of aging, and much other minutia of which we are already aware. The section on "Driver Retirement" is a subject that we will all need to eventually address (if we live long enough) but we don't need to spend an	Agency response See agency response below.
	but we don't need to spend an hour discussing it in class. We need to concentrate the time on	
	the important issues that affect driving for senior citizens. That	

	concentration could easily be	
	concentration could easily be	
O a markt Nia la m	done in six hours.	
Garrett Nolen,	I concur that an eight-hour course	See agency response below.
Instructor, AARP	allows for much more interaction	
Smart Driver	but if the goal for this regulation is	
Course	to improve overall senior driving	
	safety at the state level then the	
	additional allotted time does not	
	enhance this goal. I personally	
	believe that the review of Courses	
	for Older Drivers (24VAC20-10-	
	40) should consider the reduction	
	of course instructions hours,	
	whether it be an initial eight-hour	
	course and remittal three-year	
	courses being four or six hours in	
	duration (which could result in an	
	administration nightmare) or	
	reducing the training to either four	
	or six-hour classes would not	
	distort the States safety concepts.	
Vernon L. Wildy,	As an AARP Driver Safety	See agency response below.
AARP	Instructor, I would like to see the	
70.00	classes reduced from eight (8)	
	hours to four (4) hours. Many	
	persons taking the course are	
	retired, however; there are many	
	other persons who are working	
	and/or have other responsibilities	
	and find it difficult to attend the	
	class for two days. Most of our	
	instructors are elderly and would	
	find it difficult to teach the class for	
	eight (8) consecutive hours or for	
-	one day.	
David Young, Jr.,	With a reservation, I support the	See agency response below.
Instructor, AARP	8-hr version of the Smart Driver™	
Smart Driver	Course for older Virginia drivers.	
Course	My reservation is that the 8-hr	
	version be required only once; the	
	first time the older driver sits the	
	current version of the DSP	
	Course. Every three years is the	
	current course attendance	
	requirement to keep the	
	automobile insurance discount	
	continuous; without a break in the	
	discount. At the 3-yr anniversary,	
	the older driver knows it is time to	
	renew the insurance discount by	
	sitting the current AARP DSP	
	Course. As the course content is	
	NOT radically update frequently,	
	the older driver will very likely be	
	sitting the same course he/she sat	
	and the same course hersile sal	

	3 years ago. The biggest	
	difference between the two sitting	
	with be the instructor and the	
	other participants in the class. The	
	current Version 8, Smart Driver™,	
	was rolled out January 2014. I	
	believe previous DSP Course	
	version had a run of some 10	
	years or so; that was before I	
	joined the AARP DSP.	
Bettie Bennett,	Recommend reviewing other	See agency response below.
AARP instructor	states programs, (requiring fewer	
	hours for refreshing participants	
	after initial course completion) and	
	compare with VA requirements for	
	training certification. The only	
	thing, this will take more than the	
	current five day deadline.	
Joseph A	I took my first "55 Alive" (now	See agency response below.
Beaudoin, AARP	"Smart Driver Safety Program")	
DSP District 8	class in 1986 and soon thereafter,	
Coordinator	I became an Instructor. During	
	the past 3+/- decades that I have	
	been involved in this program, I	
	have had the opportunity to speak	
	to hundreds (if not thousands) of	
	participants and potential	
	participants. Most of the	
	participants that took the class	
	was because of the reduction in	
	insurance. AARP Instructors	
	teach classes to facilitate the	
	reduction of accidents to seniors	
	and to encourage some seniors to	
	STOP driving (mostly elderly 80+-	
	100-year-old seniors). In almost	
	all of the classes that I have been	
	involved in, the participants have stated that they have 'learned'	
	2	
	something that they will practice in	
	the future. If we can teach (or	
	remind) those over 50 that take	
	the class various safety issues,	
	then the class is worth it and	
	everyone benefits (State, Ins.	
	Companies and participants). The	
	biggest draw back to the classes	
	(in my opinion) is the length of the	
	classes. Either the participants	
	have to come for a 2-day period 4-	
	hour class or they have to sit	
	through an 8-hour class both of	
	which are not that desirable	
	(especially when we have	
	inclement weather on one of the	
L		

	days). In closing, I would like to	
	recommend that Virginia adopt the	
	6/4 AARP Driver Safety Program	
	for all future classes. I believe	
	(based on my conversations with	
	hundreds of participants) that	
	more participants would take the	
	course if it was reduced to a 6/4	
	program without any lost in insurance benefits. We all are	
	interested in expanding the	
	program and if we can increase	
	the number of participants by	
	10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50% or	
	more, isn't that our goal and	
	objective?	
Eddie Carr, AARP	I would like to request a reduction	See agency response below.
Volunteer Course	in hours for the AARP Smart	0, 1
Instructor in	Driver Course. I believe the	
Portsmouth	course could be reduced from the	
Virginia	current 8-hour course to a 4-hour	
	course and still be just as	
	effective. There is a lot of fluff, in	
	the AARP DVD and in the 8 hour	
	AARP Driver Manual used for this	
	course. The material about	
	medication, and exercise could be eliminated. Also, some of the	
	information about taking care of	
	your car is repeated a second	
	time in the AARP Manual. I	
	believe a new, well written, AARP	
	Manual and a New well scripted	
	DVD for a 4-hour class would be	
	just as effective as the current 8-	
	hour class if all the fluff is	
	removed. A Shorter Class would	
	also encourage more people to	
	take this Course.	See agonay roomanaa halayy
Bervin D. Elliott,	Request DMV reduce the Mature Driver course hours.	See agency response below.
Virginia State Coordinator, AARP		
Driver Safety		
Wanda L. Casey	I agree that the class to be	See agency response below.
	changed to a four-hour class or a	
	6-hour class. There are some Sr.	
	Living places that would like for	
	me to come and teach the class	
	but it is too long.	
Dave Hall	1. When looking at the classic	See agency response below.
	medical documents about dealing	
	with older drivers it is apparent	
	that the biggest driving change	
	that aging causes is the decline of essential Functional Abilities:	
	Cosciliai Functional Abilities.	

Vision, Cognitive, Motor (seeing	
well, thinking clearly, moving	
easily). These abilities are	
fundamental assumptions in the	
design of roadway infrastructure	
and automobiles. These	
Functional Abilities inevitably	
decline with age. This decline in	
Functional Abilities and the	
inherent dangerous driving	
situations for seniors; failure to	
yield the right of way,	
intersections, and left turns, are	
the most significant factors in	
making senior fatality rates per	
mile comparable to teenagers.	
These "senior specific"	
shortcomings can be addressed	
easily in less than several hours of	
classroom instruction and have a	
pronounced impact on the	
attendee's behavior.	
2. The current 8-hour requirement	
for Older Driver classes, by	
necessity to fill the 8 hours, drifts	
far wide of a senior driver focus	
and includes observations and	
advice for drivers of all ages - all	
valid, but not especially relevant,	
or new news, to drivers who have	
successfully driven for decades.	
Most of the usual causes of	
crashes do not fit the senior driver	
profile. The result is that an 8-	
hour class inevitably dilutes the	
significant senior citizen driving	
message. Some of these "best	
practices" should be included in	
an older driver class, but only as	
an adjunct to the key message,	
which is: Driving competence	
declines with aging and many	
people will have to limit, or cease,	
driving years before they pass	
away - know what to look for and	
when to take action. This	
message and relevant "best	
practices" can be delivered	
effectively in a 4-hour class.	
3. A 4-hour class length is rapidly	
becoming the de-facto standard:	
38 jurisdictions (states &	
territories) specify a 4-hour class;	
10 require 6-hours; and 5 require	
an 8-hour class - some of the	

	jurisdictions require a longer class	
	prior to settling in on a 4- hour	
	class. I have seen no data that	
	shows a 4-hour class is less	
	effective than an 8-hour class.	
	4. Virginia senior population	
	coverage: In rough numbers there	
	are ~6 million licensed drivers in	
	Virginia, ~25% are 55 and older	
	(~1,5 million). With the AARP	
	2019 goal of having 3710	
	classroom participants that is only	
	~.25% of the target population (1	
	in 400.) Not very significant when	
	the desired goal is to improve	
	overall senior driving safety at the	
	state level. The number of	
	classes is limited by the number of	
	instructors, time each instructor	
	has to devote, and the host sites	
	availability. A 4-hour class would	
	immediately double the resources	
	for more classes & more	
	attendees, and would be an	
	attraction for new instructors, and	
	having old ones return.	
	5. Empirical data confirms that	
	most seniors will have to limit, or	
	cease, driving at some point.	
	About 93% of seniors age 65-79	
	are licensed, while at the	
	Continuing Care Retirement	
	Community (CCRC) where I live	
	the average age is 85.4 years and	
	only ~50% of the residents are	
	active drivers. Seniors want to	
	know what is happening to them,	
	what to expect, and to prepare to	
	deal with it. The focus of Older	
	Driver education should be on	
	how to drive safely longer, but	
	also understanding what is likely	
	to happen, be prepared to retire	
	from driving on their terms and	
	timing, and get on with living. A	
	succinct 4-hour class is adequate	
	to do this focused, limited,	
	message delivery.	
Suzanne Franklin,	I am an AARP driver safety	See agency response below.
AARP Driver	instructor, in Virginia. I would like	
Safety	to see the course reduced to 4	
	hours. AARP will work, diligently,	
	to provide the best and most	
	pertinent information in the course	
	to create a safe and	
	·	

	1	
	comprehensive class to share with	
	drivers fifty and over. A four-hour	
	course will inspire more	
	individuals to take the course and	
	allow for more courses to be	
	scheduled. Virginia should take	
	this opportunity to shorten the	
	length of the course for the benefit	
	of all citizens on the highways.	
	Safety and education should be a	
	top priority.	
Thomas E. Lee	I have been involved with traffic	See agency response below.
	safety for many years, 30 with the	
	Virginia State Police and 21 years	
	instructing in the AARP driver	
	safety programs.	
	My mission in the classes I teach	
	is to get as much information to	
	my participants as possible. I fear	
	many of my fellow instructors	
	either do not have the passion for	
	sharing this information as I do. I	
	hope all advantages of having the	
	classes remain at the 8-hour level	
	will be considered before rushing	
	-	
	into cutting the class time in half.	
	There is so much that the general	
	public is not aware of. Example:	
	every time we have a rain storm I	
	met many vehicles that are using	
	their day time driving lights and	
	not their headlights. Most of these	
	people are not aware that their	
	taillights ARE NOT ON in	
	conjunction with these lights.	
	Many of our instructors and most	
	of the general public do not realize	
	that almost always wrong way	
	drivers will be driving in the right	
	hand lane if they were going in the	
	correct direction for that roadway.	
	Thus this wrong way driver will be	
	in the passing lane or the roadway	
	going in the correct direction.	
	Even many of the Department of	
	Highways workers do not know	
	why they are painting the highway	
	markings different colors. They,	
	and almost all others do not know	
	that if you have a white edge	
	marker to your right you are going	
	in the correct direction or if you	
	have a yellow edge marker you	
	are going the wrong way. Being	
	sure we get this information to as	

	many people as possible is my	
	reasoning for not want to reduce	
	the classes to 4 hour or even six	
	hours.	
Ralph Rosenthal	Sure is a lot of bureaucratic gobbledygookfact of the matter is that the governor has put stress on his admin to try to reduce the number of fatalities in VA and has a whole bunch of his departments trying to come up with good solutionsthe press has picked out some of these and periodically prints some related items. personally, after 16 years in the aarp program trying to reduce the 8-hour mandate, i am convinced that 4 hours in va is insufficient might just as well have no smart driver program at allour seniors need more than a quick video (which is the case in our surrounding states) or a 2-hour Florida summary for folks who need it most. My experience is leading me to a 6-hour class based on seniors who really can't remember 3-years ago, who don't all stay up with the latest changes and need to be awakened to their needs other than an insurance discount. my 6-hour guesstimate is based on what i would change in the existing course coupled with what we might add re new vehicle	See agency response below.
Lasten lasten	technologies.	
Lester Jackson, Mechanical Engineer/Physicist, retired professional race driver/AARP Smart Driver Class instructor for over 12 years.	The DMV requirement for the mature driver classes for Older Drivers should be reduced to 4 hours. Having taught this course at least 6 times/year I am well acquainted with group dynamics and engagement levels when the course material is essentially read word-for-word over 8 hours. Most attendees tune out after 3-4 hours and cease asking questions or making comments. This is counterproductive. However, when I take sections of the course material and paraphrase it by bringing in real life examples the engagement levels remain constant. Some sections (medications, for	See agency response below.

	instance) should be eliminated and substituted by a simple	
	statement that everyone needs to inform themselves about the side	
	effects of any medications they	
	might be taking. While the course information is	
	extremely valuable, the majority is	
	well known by attendees and	
	simple reminders are adequate.	
	Therefore, instructors can concentrate on the really	
	important issues and recent	
	changes, driver etiquette, traffic	
	issues, modern safety systems,	
	reason for – and timing of –	
	autonomous vehicles, etc. Also, if the course length is	
	advertised to be 4 hours it is very	
	likely that a significantly larger	
	number of people will sign up to	
	take it, thus providing the information to a broader audience.	
	The current 8-hour duration	
	requires a commitment that many	
	simply don't want to make.	
Ronald G.	I have been an instructor for the	See agency response below.
Paterson	AARP senior driver courses for over 20 years. Most of that time	
	(16 years) I lived and taught the	
	course in Delaware. The length of	
	time required for the course there	
	was eight hours (since reduced to	
	six hours) for those taking the class for the first time and four	
	hours when taking the class three	
	years later to renew. I was	
	shocked to learn upon moving to	
	Virginia five years ago that all such classes here were eight	
	hours long whether they be first	
	time or renewal. After teaching	
	hundreds of these classes for	
	thousands of seniors, I can say	
	without qualification that a full eight hours for all classes is not	
	necessary. A six and/or four-hour	
	requirement would be much more	
	reasonable. I hope a change can	
Lincoln C.	be made. I am an experienced AARP Smart	See agency response below.
Cummings, AARP	Driver course instructor with	
Smart Driver	dozens of classes under my belt.	
Instructor	The eight-hour interactive	
	classroom course is widely	

[		1
	appreciated and enjoyed by the elders taking this course. Much of the real education and benefit reported by the attendees is the interactive discussion that helps clarify and support the learning points - all of which strives to make the drivers more focused on safety and avoiding an accident. The course videos are excellent. Carefully moderated dialogue with the course attendees enhances their understanding of and helps them internalize the learning points. This takes time – which is universally appreciated. I do not believe the material can reliably be internalized in anything less than six hours.	
Jack Oates	For nearly the past two years I have served as a volunteer instructor of AARP's Smart Driver course in Williamsburg, Virginia. My volunteer ID number is 100420431. I am aware that some other volunteer instructors have for some time been suggesting that the 8-hour Smart Driver class should be shortened. Some recommend that the class be cut by 2 hours. Others would like to cut the class time in half, to 4 hours. I believe that any such shortening of this valuable training would be a major mistake.	See agency response below.
	I am fully aware that the principal - - and often the only factor motivating many people to enroll in the training is the insurance premium reduction that many automobile insurance providers offer to their customers. I think it is laudable that so many insurers offer this inducement. But I am also convinced that they do so because they have seen, in the driving records of their customers who have successfully completed the course, that Smart Driver graduates as a whole are less likely to commit traffic violations, become involved in crashes and suffer injuries and deaths. And the insurance providers enjoy the	

financial benefits these traffic safety improvements generate, namely lower insurance payouts.	
The present 8-hour course, in my opinion, delivers the knowledge and skills that drivers need to cope effectively with the challenges we all face as we age. But where is the evidence that jettisoning 25 to 50 percent of this learning experience would not eliminate much or even all of the benefits of the present course?	

AGENCY RESPONSE: Va. Code § 46.2-490 provides that the Commissioner of DMV "shall, in his discretion, contract with such entities as the Commissioner deems fit, including private or governmental entities, to develop curricula for a statewide driver improvement clinic program." Currently, driver improvement clinics are required to provide a minimum of eight hours of instruction in topics such as (i) alcohol and drug abuse, (ii) aggressive driving, (iii) distracted driving, (iv) motorcycle awareness, and (v) work zone safety. The statute further provides that the "driver improvement clinic program shall be established for the purpose of instructing persons identified by the Department and the court system as problem drivers in need of driver improvement education and training and for those drivers interested in improved driving safety." Prior to 2015, Va. Code § 46.2-505 provided that any court of the Commonwealth, or any federal court, charged with the duty of hearing traffic cases for offenses for violation of any law regulating the movement or operation of a motor vehicle, may require any person found guilty to attend a driver improvement clinic. Chapter 282 of the 2014 Virginia Acts of Assembly amended § 46.2-505 of the Code to permit courts to order such persons to attend a driver improvement clinic or a mature driver motor vehicle crash prevention course as provided in Va. Code § 38.2-2217. Courts may order successful completion of such courses in lieu of a finding of guilty. The mature driver course specifically focuses on the information needs of drivers aged 55 years and older. The curriculum includes subjects such as (i) vision and other physical problems which tend to accompany increasing age and how these problems may affect driving performance, (ii) both over-the-counter and prescription drugs, alcohol, fatigue, and how their interaction effect driving and precautionary measures, (iii) safety belts and the special needs of older people to use them. To maintain comparability between the driver improvement clinic and the mature driver motor vehicle crash prevention course that courts may order defendants to attend, both courses are required to provide eight hours of instruction.

#### Effectiveness

Pursuant to § 2.2-4017 of the Code of Virginia, indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 14 (as amended, July 16, 2018), including why the regulation is (a) necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and (b) is clearly written and easily understandable.

The regulation is necessary for the protection of the public welfare. The regulation is intended to ensure that accident prevention courses for older drivers in Virginia provide adequate training for drivers and the regulation oversees the accident prevention courses curriculum requirements, obligations to participants, qualifications and other requirements for instructors, duration of curriculum, and other requirements.

#### Decision

*Explain the basis for the promulgating agency's decision (retain the regulation as is without making changes, amend the regulation, or repeal the regulation).* 

Comments received centered around the length of the mature driver course. To maintain comparability between the driver improvement clinic and the mature driver motor vehicle crash prevention course that courts may order defendants to attend, both courses are required to provide eight hours of instruction. DMV will retain the regulation as is without making changes to the regulation.

#### **Small Business Impact**

As required by § 2.2-4007.1 E and F of the Code of Virginia, discuss the agency's consideration of: (1) the continued need for the regulation; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the regulation; (3) the complexity of the regulation; (4) the extent to the which the regulation overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with federal or state law or regulation; and (5) the length of time since the regulation has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the regulation. Also, discuss why the agency's decision, consistent with applicable law, will minimize the economic impact of regulations on small businesses.

DMV received comments during the public comment period indicating a need to amend the regulation. DMV has determined to retain the regulation as is at this time in order to maintain comparability between the driver improvement clinic and the mature driver motor vehicle crash prevention course. DMV has determined that the regulation is not overly complex and conforms to the *Code*. DMV has also determined that the regulation does not overlap, or conflict with federal or state law or regulation. DMV considered the degree to which, technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the regulation, and has determined that an amendment to the regulation is not necessary at this time.